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1. VENUE
CNR Research Area in Genoa - Sala Leonardo, 11th floor
Address: Via De Marini 6, 16149 Genoa, Italy

2. SUMMARY
The training followed the planned schedule of educating the participants about the basics of patent law, with lectures given by Silvia Cella, Alessia Naso, and Raffaella Beroldo.

In the first lecture the students were given a first glance on Intellectual Property Rights, highlighting the basic principles of patent systems and the protection of inventions. An overview of the different forms of Intellectual Property was given, for protecting research results and for giving value to one’s ideas. The legal rights conferred by patents, trademarks, registered designs, copyright and trade secrets were introduced, as well as what they protect and how to obtain them. The next part of the lectures focused on the main aspect of the Patent system: inventorship and co-ownership, priority date and publication, duration and country validation. The fourth lecture presented the procedures to apply for a European patent application according to the European Patent Convention (EPC) or to apply for an international patent application through the PCT (Patent Co-operation Treaty) way: filing, Search Report and examination, granting and validation. The final lecture focused on the importance of the IP Management in Horizon 2020 at the proposal stage.

The tutorial gave the participants a familiarity with the basics of patents and patent/copyright law, which is important for navigating the modern engineering landscape. Many real-life examples presented during the lectures ensured practical understanding of the material.

3. LIST OF PARTICIPANTS
1. Anja Babić, anja.babic@fer.hr, University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
2. Ivan Lončar, ivan.loncar2@fer.hr, University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
3. Marin Stipanov, marin.stipanov@fer.hr, University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
4. Milan Marković, milan.markovic@fer.hr, University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
5. Ivana Mikolić, ivana.mikolic@fer.hr, University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
6. Filip Mandić, filip.mandic@fer.hr, University of Zagreb Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Computing
7. Nadir Kapetanović, nadir.kapetanovic@gmail.com, University of Sarajevo
8. Satja Sivcev, satja.sivcev@ul.ie, University of Limerick
9. Stefano Pierantozzi, stefano.pierantozzi@ul.ie, University of Limerick
10. Marco Bibuli, marco.bibuli@ge.issia.cnr.it, National Research Council of Italy - CNR
11. Massimo Caccia, max@ge.issia.cnr.it, National Research Council of Italy - CNR

4. SURVEY RESULTS
How would you rate your preparedness for the training?

Answered: 10  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>rate of preparedness</th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Below average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Above average</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weighted Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>30.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### How satisfied were you with the:

- **theoretical content of the training?**
  - Bad: 0%
  - Below Average: 0%
  - Average: 10%
  - Above Average: 40%
  - Excellent: 40%
  - Total: 10
  - Weighted Average: 4.10

- **practical content of the training?**
  - Bad: 0%
  - Below Average: 0%
  - Average: 10%
  - Above Average: 20%
  - Excellent: 20%
  - Total: 10
  - Weighted Average: 3.80

- **lecturers in general?**
  - Bad: 0%
  - Below Average: 0%
  - Average: 10%
  - Above Average: 40%
  - Excellent: 50%
  - Total: 10
  - Weighted Average: 4.40

- **training in general?**
  - Bad: 0%
  - Below Average: 0%
  - Average: 10%
  - Above Average: 50%
  - Excellent: 40%
  - Total: 10
  - Weighted Average: 4.30
Q4

How knowledgeable in the training content was your lecturer?

Answered: 10  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Above Average</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weighted Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(no label)</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>14.00%</td>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>73.00%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q5

Did the training meet your expectations?

Answered: 10  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Bad</th>
<th>Below Average</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Above Average</th>
<th>Excellent</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Weighted Average</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(no label)</td>
<td>6.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>50.00%</td>
<td>35.00%</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Q6

Would you attend another training organized within the scope of EXCELLABUST project?

Answered: 10  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Answer Choices</th>
<th>Responses</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>100.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>0.00%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Q7

How would you rate your level of knowledge about the topic of the training after attending tutorial, compared with the knowledge you had about the topic before attending the training?

Answered: 10  Skipped: 0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(no label)</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10.00%</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.00%</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.00%</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80.00%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weighted Average</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5. **PHOTOS**

All photos are available [here](#).